

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/624,370	LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Steven R. Garland	2125	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Steven R. Garland.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. McClure.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 January 2007
**Time:** morning
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
 13

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the application by examiner's amendment to place the application in better form for allowance. Also a proposed drawing correction was discussed. Mr. McClure agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the attached examiner's amendment..